UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,160	04/15/2004	Hua-Jun Zeng	MS1-1892US	8619
22801 LEE & HAYES	7590 10/20/200 SPLLC	EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			SANDERS, AARON J	
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonsious Cummons	10/826,160 ZENG ET AL.		
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AARON SANDERS	2168	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Aaron Sanders</u> .	(3) <u>Benjamin Keim</u> .		
(2) <u>Tim Vo</u> .	(4) <u>Dino Kujundzit</u> .		
Date of Interview: <u>14 October 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,45 and 50</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Simoudis, U.S. 5,224,2	206 and Vaithyanathan, U.S. 5	<u>,819,258</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed how the claim amendments might overcome the amendments overcame the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection, but discussed how the claim amendments might overcome the amendments overcame the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection, but discussed how the claim amendment amend the claims to specifically claim the novelty of the invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	e gave a brief overview of the prior art of record. The Examed not overcome the 35 U.S.C. to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 10 Imments which the examiner agropy of the amendments that wid.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE Last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	claimed invention iner agreed that 101 rejections. If rejections and invented would render the substance of been filed, APP of DAYS FROM INTERIOR IS	on and the The The more er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Aaron Sanders/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)